

Policy Type: Governance Process

Board Self-Assessment

GP-4-E-2

The Board will use the following instrument to evaluate its performance during meetings.

Date of meeting: _____

Number of Board members present: _____

I. General meeting behavior

Rate the Board's meeting behavior by assigning a numerical rating using the following scale:

1 **2** **3** **4** **5**
 Failing Poor Satisfactory Good Commendable

1	2	3	4	5

- The agenda was well planned to focus on the real work of the Board.
- The Board followed its agenda and did not allow itself to get sidetracked.
- Board members prepared for and contributed to the meeting.
- The meeting proceeded without interruptions or distractions.
- The Board's deliberations and decision-making processes were public
- Participation was balanced. All participated; no one dominated.
- Members listened attentively, avoiding side conversations.
- Work was conducted in an atmosphere of trust and openness.
- Meeting participants treated each other with respect and courtesy.

Remarks:

II. Governance principles review

Were these principles followed? Mark **Yes** or **No**. For any **not** followed, please add remarks.

Yes	No	N/A

1. Board actions occur at the policy level rather than at the operational level.
2. The Board reviews policy about each topic before discussing that issue.
3. In writing additional policies, the Board starts with a broad statement and becomes more detailed in a logical and disciplined sequence.
4. The Board minimizes time spent monitoring past performance.
5. The Board routinely dedicates time to reviewing/improving its own process.
6. The Board clarifies priorities/values when considering potential outcomes, beneficiaries and costs of outcomes.
7. The Board follows an annual calendar based on a plan for doing its work.
8. The Board Chair helps the Board efficiently conduct its meeting
9. The Board spends most of its time deliberating issues, defining and clarifying its vision, and linking with its community, as opposed to "fixing things."
10. The Board supports the Superintendent in any reasonable interpretation of its policies.

Remarks:

III. Overall.

1	2	3	4	5

1=Failing, 2=Poor, 3=Satisfactory, 4=Good, 5=Commendable
 Mark the number that corresponds with your evaluation of the meeting.

Adopted: *July 11, 2007*
Monitoring Method: *Board self-assessment*
Monitoring Frequency: *Annually in July*